HEALTH SERVICES RESEARCH DESIGN (HPM 225B)

COURSE SYLLABUS AND READINGS LIST Version 07 2020 0223

Winter 2020

Jack Needleman Email: needlema@ucla.edu Office: 31-269C CHS Phone: 310-267-2706 Office Hours: Monday 3-4 and by appointment Assistant: Maria Porras, mporras@ph.ucla.edu

> <u>TA: Jamie Godwin</u> <u>Email: godwinj@g.ucla.edu</u> <u>Office Hours: Mondays 12-1pm</u> <u>Office: 31-236</u>

SYLLABUS OUTLINE

- I. COURSE OVERVIEW, GRADING AND OTHER GENERAL MATERIAL
- II. <u>CLASS SCHEDULE</u>
- III. <u>ASSIGNMENTS</u>
- IV. READINGS AND NOTES ON PREPARATION FOR SPECIFIC SESSIONS
- V. INSTRUCTIONS AND TEMPLATE FOR HS225B TERM PAPER
- VI. <u>APPENDIX: HEALTH SERVICES RESEARCH DOCTORAL LEVEL CORE</u> <u>COMPETENCIES</u>

I. COURSE OVERVIEW, GRADING AND OTHER GENERAL MATERIAL

Course Overview

This course focuses on the challenges of implementing research designs in practice, including the application of conceptual models in empirical analyses, the operationalization of study design through selection of measures and implementation of regression models, and preparation of research articles. It is the second of a two-quarter sequence on the design of health services research. Focusing on the design of the research and analyses required to address mediation, moderation and test complex conceptual models, it complements rather than directly supports the material on specific statistical analytic methods presented in Biostatistics 201AB and HPM 237C.

Class sessions

The class meets three times a week, Mondays and Wednesdays 1-3pm in 61-269 CHS and Thursday, 8-10am in the computer lab A1-241. There will be 20 regular class sessions and 8 labs. Because there are two Monday holidays, some regular class sessions will be held on Thursday.

<u>Learning Objectives for the Course (competencies associated with each learning objective at end of syllabus)</u>

Upon completion of HS 225B, student should be able to:

- Comprehend, formulate, and employ theory, models, and variables in the conceptualization of a health services research problem
 - PhD/MS Competencies: 1, 2, 3, 4
- Know how to identify and use secondary data sources relevant to health services research
 - PhD/MS Competencies: 6
- Understand the basic research designs used in health services research, describe their relative strengths and weaknesses, and know which designs can and cannot be implemented using any given data source
 - PhD/MS Competencies: 3, 5, 8
- Translate health services research designs into regression models
 - PhD/MS Competencies: 4, 7, 8
- Write a research manuscript describing the methods and results of an empirical data analysis corresponding to an appropriate conceptual model and study design
 - PhD/MS Competencies: 7, 8, 10, 11

The required text for the course is

• Remler DK, Van Ryzin G. Research Methods in Practice: Strategies for Description and Causation. Sage Publications, 2015.

Other readings available online or on reserve and distributed through the Biomedical Library or on the course CCLE website.

If you have a different edition of the textbooks, please check with the instructor to make sure that you are reading the correct sections. You will need to set up a UCLA VPN account with multifactor authorization in order to access some of the online publications for free from your home. Readings not available for free online will be placed on reserve in the Biomedical Library or made available through the class CCLE website. **All reading should be done in advance of class.** Lectures will generally not cover all that is in the readings. You will be asked for your questions about the readings and concepts, and these will form the basis for modifying lectures and class discussion.

Approach of the Class

Research design is an active process, applying conceptual models to the specific issues and challenges of a specific project or question.

There is a **philosophy/attitude for this course about how research should be done**. **In its positive expression**, it emphasizes the idea of intellectual play and curiosity in guiding the choice of research questions, and efforts to rigorously draw conclusions from data through thoughtful analysis. **In its negative expression, it abhors research done by rote**. By that I mean research in which a left-hand side outcome is selected, a right-hand side variable of interest is identified believed to be associated with the outcome, a series of control variables selected because they are expected, a regression or similar analysis run, and the outcome assessed in terms of the sign of the regressor of interest and whether it is statistically significant. In rote research, the magnitude of the effect and interactions, collinearities or relationships or conceptual and structural relationships among the RHS variables are unexplored or underexplored, and often there is an undue focus on p-values and statistical significance rather than substantive findings. This type of research is boring and, when done on observational data as most HSR is, can lead to incorrect inferences and recommendations on policy and practice.

Themes and catchphrases you are likely to hear repeated in the class and whose meaning for carrying out research will be explored in the class include:

--Remain intellectually playful and curious

-Ask questions worth answering

-Construct answers worth paying attention to.

The research literature is a conversation with each study trying to move the conversation forward. Research doesn't need to be perfect, but it needs to be sound enough that it should be part of the conversation.

--Don't conduct research by rote.

--In a research environment in which you are using observational data, **context matters**. And all the context that matters cannot be incorporated into the analysis, because of its complexity and data limitations. **Therefore**:

-Use your conceptual model to define your understanding of how you think the world works with respect to what you are studying.

-Think about how you will **test that model in your research**, and how it might be changed by what you find.

-Think about what you can't test or measure and how it might affect what you observe in your analysis.

-Your conceptual model and empirical analysis should be in a dialog with one another.

-Measures matter. If readers don't believe your measures, they won't believe your results. -Samples matter. Selection effects are rampant in observational data. The statistical methods for adjusting for selection, in which you will be well trained, are not perfect. One of the powerful tools you have for reducing the impact of selection on your results is finding appropriate samples and populations to study.

--Understand your data and what you are finding.

-Exploratory analysis is critical. Don't rush to the regression!

-Think about the interactions, mediation, collinearity and confounding of your right hand side regressors, including among your control variables. What relationships among them and your regressor of interest need to be examined?

-You should be looking for robust relationships, not relationships dependent on the precise sample you have, the specific definition of the measures chosen, and the statistical method you have chosen. The goal is not to find (or create) a statistically significant relationship and publish it, it is to understand what is going on in the world. Let's not torture the data to make it tell the story we want to hear.

-Conduct sensitivity analyses and specification tests.

-Magnitudes matter. Analyze the impact of your regressors, not just the sign of the relationship.

-ORs, RRs, elasticities can be misleading. Translate your results into natural units.

-Graph results for insight.

-Identify the audience for your research and write a clear story of your research for them. Your journey as the researcher to the findings is not necessarily of great interest or relevance to your audience. They want to have confidence your results are right, but their primary concern is the implications of the findings for use. This will help you not only in your writing but also interpreting your results and shaping the analysis. --Remain intellectually playful and curious.

Research design is an active process, applying conceptual models to the specific issues and challenges of a specific project or question. The class will reflect this. Lecturing will be minimal. Lecture slides will be posted to the CCLE website approximately 1 week prior to the class. The lecture notes complement the readings and both should be read/reviewed prior to class, and you are expected to be familiar with the material when you come into the class. Class time will be used for: discussion of papers illustrating the topic for the session, addressing questions identified at the start of the class by students on the readings and lecture notes, brief lectures highlighting key points in the readings, and selected exercises in research design. The model only works if you come to the class prepared.

The syllabus may be changed during the quarter. An updated syllabus will be posted to the CCLE site and an announcement sent out.

Assignments/Grading

Class assignments and grading are discussed in detail below in section III. Assignments.

The core assignment will be completion of a research paper with statistical analysis and its interpretation. You will be expected to bring relevant data and analyses from your class project in as examples throughout the quarter. The grading rubric is presented in section III. Assignments, below. Active class participation is expected. While there is no specific grade for class participation, grades may be raised or lowered from the calculated grade by a half grade for high or low class participation.

Based on feedback from students in prior years, I have modified the paper assignment in two ways. First, students will be permitted to work in small groups of 2 to 3 students on the paper. No one is obligated to work with others, but students in prior classes have found this helpful. Second, a common data set is provided and a general common research topic using this data set is posed. This can facilitate learning about the data and measures together and establish a conversation around a common research challenge. Some students have come into class with a specific paper topic or data set they wish to work on. This is permitted provided the data are in hand, and the topic is discussed with the instructors.

The class topic is motivated by the following: Hospitals that serve large proportions of African-American and Hispanic patients or Medicaid and uninsured patients are often scored lower on Medicare quality measures such as readmissions than other hospitals. Yet risk adjustment methods that include patient race/ethnicity and dual-eligibility status (the only measure of socioeconomic status available in the Medicare claims data) find that only a small proportion of the variance in outcomes is attributable to patient SES. Some critics of these analyses argue that the differences across hospital performance relate to differences in resources available within hospitals that serve large numbers of economically disadvantaged patients, an issue masked by CMS's use of standardized DRG prices to measure resource use at the hospital level, or by variations in the neighborhood resources available to potential or discharged patients that influence the quality of care pre- and post-hospitalization. Others, more critical of these hospitals, argue that discrimination against minority patients contributes to the differences observed, and/or these hospitals are less quality oriented or effective in delivering high quality care.

You are asked to design a research project examining some dimension of the issues discussed above, and explore the association among (1) variations in hospital outcomes for minority and disadvantaged populations, (2) variations in hospital quality, (3) variations across patients in the severity and complexity of their disease, (4) discrimination in care between minority and white patients, and (5) neighborhood effects influencing patient presentation of disease and ability to access relevant services in their community. You don't have to address all these associations or try to resolve all the issues raised in the literature, so there is considerable variation in the research you might design and conduct.

The following datasets are being made available for carrying out this research project:

• A modified version of the California OSHPD 2010 public use discharge data file for California, with patients with 3 digit or no zip codes, unknown race or ethnicity, children, and from zip codes with fewer than 100 cases excluded. This dataset provides detailed information at the patient level about each admission, including: hospital, patient demographics, insurance status, zip code of residence, primary diagnoses and secondary diagnoses, including present on admission comorbidities and hospital acquired complications (with a present on admission indicator for each diagnosis), primary procedure and secondary procedures, length of stay, discharge status and location, source of admission (home, nursing facility, etc., although these are often imprecisely coded), and total charges among other variables.

• The OSHPD hospital financial report data set with detailed hospital data, including data on size, ownership, location and payer mix.

Documentation files will also be made available.

Students may also want to use American Community Census data aggregated to the zip code level or other surveys or data sets with community-based data. We are working to make ACS data available.

Students may work in groups of 2 or 3 or individually.

Communications and email

Coming to office hours is encouraged, and if the posted time is not convenient, please request a separate appointment.

Email is encouraged. Regarding email, I get too many emails a day and you should assume yours will be lost unless you start the subject "hpm225b."

<u>Plagiarism</u>

I don't anticipate plagiarism will be a problem, but one not uncommon problem is a paraphrase so close that it is virtually a quote. Whether cited or not, this can cross the line to appropriation of another person's words. Some common phrases or sentences, like "Health care spending in the US is X, Y% of the GDP" are realistically how that sentence should be written and there is no need to worry about it. Other paraphrases, or uncited ideas, can be more troublesome. The UCLA library has some resources for students, including this somewhat condescending site:

http://unitproj.library.ucla.edu/col/bruinsuccess/03/01.cfm

The slide pack provides links to other resources, including this useful link:

http://unitproj.library.ucla.edu/col/bruinsuccess/06/01.cfm

An internet search will identify more.

Accommodations for Disability

If you wish to request an accommodation due to a suspected or documented disability, please inform me and contact the Office for Students with Disabilities as soon as possible at A255 Murphy Hall, (310) 825-1501, (310) 206-6083 (telephone device for the deaf). Website: www.osd.ucla.edu

Ph.D. and M.S.H.S. Research Competencies

This course provides primary training related to the following competencies from AHRQ's list of core competencies for Ph.D. training in health services research (see appendix for details):

- **Theoretical knowledge** Applying or developing theoretical and conceptual models relevant to health services research
- **Relevant and important HSR question development** Posing relevant and important research questions, evaluating them, and formulating solutions to health problems, practice and policy

- **Conceptual models and operational methods** Using or developing a conceptual model to specify study constructs for a health services research question and developing variables that reliably and validly measure these constructs
- **Study designs** Describing the strengths and weaknesses of study designs to appropriately address specific health services research questions
- **Data collection and management methods** Sampling and collecting primary health and health care data and/or assembling and managing existing data from public and private sources

and additional training related to the following competencies:

- **Research conduct management** Executing and documenting procedures that ensure the reproducibility of the science, the responsible use of resources, the ethical treatment of research subjects, with particular focus on ethics and human subject considerations in research
- **Professional development** Working collaboratively in teams within disciplines, across disciplines, and/or with stakeholders
- **Communication** Effectively communicating the process, findings, and implications of health services research through multiple modalities with stakeholders, focusing in this course on proposal development

II. CLASS SCHEDULE

Session Date Topic

It is CRITICAL to look at the readings list, which includes additional information on class discussion and assignments

Week 1:

1	01/06	Introduction to HS225B Article discussion (Ginsburg et al, 2010; Ataguba, 2015) (See discussion questions in readings list) Discussion of class project
2	01/08	Aligning research questions, study design, conceptual models, data sources and analysis strategies. Article discussion (Wu, et al., 2018). See instructions for critique in III. Assignments below for Assignment 1 for outline/framework for your critique.
		Assignment #1 Given: Article Critique (Due 1/27)
Lab 1	01/09	Review of foundational Stata concepts (TA)

<u>Week 2</u>:

3	01/13	Exploratory data analysis 1: Basic approaches
4	01/15	Missing data (Comulada)
Lab 2	01/16	Data management and missing data (TA)

<u>Week 3</u>:

For discussion this week: Be prepared to present your draft research question/hypothesis; conceptual model; main outcome and primary regressor of interest and how they will be interpreted and measured. See session 6 below.

- NA 01/20 NO CLASS: Martin Luther King Day
- 5 01/22 Exploratory analysis 2: Application to the class project. This class will present exploratory analysis relevant to an illustrative project related to the class project, and invite real time exploration of the data.

Assignment #2 Given: Sign Up for Exploratory Analysis Presentation (Present on 2/10, or 2/19)

Lab 3 01/23 Implementing exploratory analysis

WCCK 4

6	01/27	 Project discussions. Each group/individual will briefly describe their research project for the quarter. Your presentation should include: Research question (1-2 sentences) Basic research design/strategy to address question (overview, with description of key analyses that will allow you to answer your question) Data to be used Conceptual model, highlighting pathways of interest, possible mediated, moderated and confounded relationships To the extent time permits, more detail on design: outcome, key individual variables, measures. Assignment #3 Given: Analytical Approach for Exploring a Conceptual Model (Due 2/24)
7	01/29	Measurement 1
8	01/30	Measurement 2

<u>Week 5</u>:

9	02/03	Testing coefficients and models Interactions, moderation (and stratification), and confounding
10	02/05	Mediation
Lab 4	02/06	Confounders & interactions (moderation) & mediation (TA) & factor analysis

Week 6:

For discussion this week and next: Be prepared to discuss issues what you are seeing in your exploratory analysis. See III. Assignments section for additional detail.

11	02/10	Interpreting and presenting regression results, including for interactions and Mediation Generating and interpreting predicted probabilities
12	02/12	Generating and interpreting predicted probabilities (cont.) Article discussions
Lab 5	02/13	Post-regression commands, predicted probabilities & presenting results
Week 7	<u>7</u> :	
N/A	02/17	NO CLASS -President's Day

13	02/19	Exploratory analysis presentations (1)
14	02/20	Exploratory analysis presentations (2) Simulating policy relevant models and results

<u>Week 8</u>:

15	02/24	Exploratory analysis presentations (3) Writing and presenting 1
16	02/26	Writing and presenting 2
Lab 6	02/27	Topic TBD (TA)

Due 2/28: CHIS Exploratory Assignment

<u>Week 9</u>: For discussion this week: Be prepared to discuss how you plan to present results from your analysis.

17	03/02	Article discussion TBD
18	03/03	Survey weights (Xiao Chen) –
Lab 7	03/04	Survey weights (TA)
Due 03/02:		Draft of paper except results, but including descriptive statistics and exploratory analysis
		ana, jozo
Week 1	<u>0</u> :	
<u>Week 1</u> 19	<u>0</u> : 03/09	Review and student-requested topics (Course evaluations)
<u>Week 1</u> 19 20	<u>0</u> : 03/09 03/11	Review and student-requested topics (Course evaluations) Community-partnered work / real world research designs (Ken Wells)

Final paper due 03/20

III. ASSIGNMENTS

Activity/Assignment	Due Date	% of Grade
Class participation	N/A	See syllabus and text below.
1. Article critique	1/28	15%
2. Exploratory analysis presentation	2/12-2/19	15%
3. Analytical Approach for Exploring a Conceptual Model	2/28	20%
 Draft version of research manuscript (see syllabus on what to include) 	3/2	0% (goal is to provide feedback on paper analysis prior to final)
5. Final version of research manuscript (see detailed instructions on what to include)	3/20	50%

Winter 2019

Overview

The goals for this quarter include developing the skills to construct measures, do preliminary and exploratory analysis, conduct a regression-based analysis, and interpret it in light of your conceptual model, preliminary analysis and results. Assignments are key to helping achieve these goals.

Please submit all assignments via the link provided on CCLE. When relevant, include *clean* versions of all Stata programs and output (do and log) files. (Use of statistical software other than Stata must be pre-approved by the TA.) It is expected that all assignments will be turned in on time; late assignments may be subject to a grading penalty. That said, things happen. If you will be late with any assignment, please contact me **before the due date** to set a new deadline.

Active class participation is expected. While there is no specific grade for class participation, grades may be raised or lowered from the calculated grade by a half grade for high or low class participation.

Research Manuscript

The paper you will prepare by the end of the quarter will be a research paper, but slightly different in scope from those you are likely to submit to a journal. Specifically, it will have more detail on the conceptual model, measures and preliminary analysis than most journal articles. It can be less detailed in its introduction or rationale for the research. See accompanying instructions and template.

If you are not planning to use the datasets provided, consult with the TA or me about the data set you would like to use. You will want to choose it early on in the quarter. Given how short a quarter is, you should only pursue a paper with your own data if you have the data in hand when the quarter begins. Paper topics using your own data sets should be approved by the end of the second week of classes.

The conceptual model may be original or an extension of a standard model. Thus, while you can begin with a standard model like the Andersen model, it needs to be customized to your research. You must have at least one clear, testable hypothesis. Although your regression model will contain a number of predictors, it is recommended that the paper focus on testing a narrow range. Focusing on one regressor plus its mediation, moderation, and collinearity with one variable would be a reasonable scope. **Ignoring the possibility of mediation, moderation or collinearity on the right-hand side of your model is too narrow a scope.**

Papers are limited to 6000 words plus tables, references, appendices, and as a broad guideline you might want to think about 3500-4500 words or 15-20 pages of double-spaced text (not including tables and references) as a target. Much of it can be taken from previous class assignments although if you do so it needs to be woven together carefully.

Please read carefully and adhere to the guidelines for the HPM 225B research manuscript.

Additional Assignments

In addition to the paper, as noted in the table and the syllabus, there are 3 additional graded assignments, 2 individual and 1 group:

Assignment #1: Article Critique Submit Via CCLE by Midnight on 1/27

Please provide a critique for the following article:

Griffiths P, Maruotti A, Recio Saucedo A, Redfern OC, Ball JE, Briggs J, Dall'Ora C, Schmidt PE, Smith GB; Missed Care Study Group. Nurse staffing, nursing assistants and hospital mortality: retrospective longitudinal cohort study. BMJ Qual Saf. 2018 Dec 4. pii: bmjqs-2018-008043. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2018-008043. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 30514780.

In your critique, briefly summarize and critique the study in the following categories (keep the summary brief, focus on the critique):

- (a) question addressed;
- (b) theoretical/ conceptual framework used;
- (c) basic research strategy to answer the question (e.g., for a study of the impact of Medicaid expansion on health care use: pre-post with control, or Diff in Diff, analysis of physician services for those eligible for Medicaid under expansion in expansion states to those not in expansion states)
- (d) data sources and measures employed;
- (e) appropriateness of the analysis in answering the research question and testing the conceptual model; and
- (f) adequacy and accuracy of interpretation and discussion.

With respect to item (e), we are interested principally in whether the analytic strategy can resolve competing/conflicting explanations and hypotheses, not whether the statistical methods chosen were optimal.

On balance, how confident are you that the conclusions are correct?

<u>Please limit your critique to 3 pages, approximately 1200-1400 words, with 1-inch margins, single spaced.</u>

<u>3</u>

Assignment #2: Exploratory Analysis Presentation

In week 3, you presented your project description. Please work with your project group to prepare a 15 minute presentation in which you:

- (a) **<u>Briefly</u>** remind the class of the research question, design and data sample, conceptual model;
- (b) Present the results of at least two exploratory analyses, including univariate analysis (means, percentages, distributions, etc.); bivariate analysis (chi-square, correlations, simple regressions, stratified analyses, etc.), nested cross tabs, and/or factor analysis. As you present the analyses, explain why you did the analysis and what you hoped to learn from the analysis, as well as your findings. Among the topics on which you might present are:
 - a. What you have learned about key variables and measures, such as degree of missingness, extent of variation and important features of the measure (large numbers of zeros, small rates, etc.)

- b. Bivariate relationships of the outcome with individual measures and stratified or trivariate relationships such as nested cross tabs.
- c. The relationships of variables on the RHS of your model (extent of collinearity, factor or scale structure, associations).
- (c) Describe how the results from these analyses have (or will) influence your subsequent data analysis decisions, for example, helping you decide which variables to use, how to treat your outcome, the type of relationship between your outcome and primary regressor, how to construct a scale, identify potential moderators or mediators, etc.

Please prepare either PowerPoint slides or a handout that shows the relevant Stata code and output. Focus on describing what analyses you did (and why), what your learned from the analyses, and (most importantly!) the implications of what you've learned for next steps in your analysis. The presentation should be well thought through, but is an opportunity to get feedback from the class on your approach/decisions.

Please sign up for one of the following dates:

- Wed (2/12)
- Wed (2/19)

Assignment #3: Analytical Approach for Exploring a Conceptual Model Submit Via CCLE by Midnight on 2/28

Please develop and submit Stata do and log files that details your approach for conducting exploratory analysis to examine <u>four inter-related boxes (pathways)</u> in the following conceptual model.



Please use the CHIS dataset (located on CCLE). Provide the code that you would use to begin exploring the data and testing relationships. In your code, please annotate:

- Why you are conducting each analysis: what you are hoping to learn/examine
- What you learned from the results, including major take aways & implications/next steps for analysis for example, whether/how you would use the variable, limitations you would note, how you might create a scale, whether this variable would be a contender for a mediator/moderator, etc.

Please submit both your do-file and log files.

IV. READINGS AND NOTES ON PREPARATION FOR SPECIFIC SESSIONS

<u>Week 1</u>:

Developing A Conceptual Model and thinking thoughtfully about statistical analysis

(PLANNED CLASS DISCUSSION: Ginsburg, 2010, and Ataguba, 2015, listed below, will be discussed at the start of the first class; Wu, 2018 will be discussed in the second class)

- Ginsburg LR et al., "The Relationship between Organizational Leadership for Safety and Learning from Patient Safety Events," Health Services Research. 2010 Jun; 45(3):607-32. Questions for discussion: Look at Figure 1 on page 210, then the principal findings in the abstract. Is the conclusion consistent with the Figure? We will be discussing this and the results as reported in Tables 2, as well as the author's discussion. Why are the authors' statement of their findings inconsistent with what is reported in their tables?
- Ataguba JE, C Day and D McIntyre, "Explaining the role of the social determinants of health on health inequality in South Africa," Global Health Action. 2015 Sep; 8:28865. Questions for discussion: On page 7, the authors write: "Factors such as income, age, sex, rural location, and race do not make significant contributions to the disparities in good SAH. Such lack of a significant contribution may arise in some cases where the effect of some of the variables (e.g. income) on health inequality has been captured through the other significant variables (e.g. employment status)." Are you surprised by their finding? What do the authors mean in the second sentence quoted?
- Gelman, Andrew, "The failure of null hypothesis significance testing when studying incremental changes, and what to do about it," July 3, 2017.
- Remler & Van Ryzin, Chapter 2 ("Theory, Models and Research Questions")

Skim the following blog entries

- http://andrewgelman.com/2017/12/24/walk-crooked-miie/
- http://errorstatistics.com/2015/07/17/statistical-significance-according-to-the-u-s-dept-of-health-andhuman-services-i/
- http://andrewgelman.com/2015/09/04/p-values-and-statistical-practice-2/
- http://errorstatistics.com/2015/08/31/the-paradox-of-replication-and-the-vindication-of-the-p-value-butshe-can-go-deeper-i/
- http://theincidentaleconomist.com/wordpress/youre-probably-thinking-of-p-values-all-wrong-p-0-05/

Also, review the following dAiscussions of p values and their interpretation:

http://jnci.oxfordjournals.org/content/108/8/djw194.full http://amstat.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00031305.2016.1154108 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4877414/ Also recommended:

 Shoemaker PJ, Tankard Jr. JW, Lasorsa DL. How to Build Social Science Theories. 2004. Sage Publications.

Study Design and Translating Conceptual Models into Regressions

- Remler & Van Ryzin, Chapter 11 ("Observational Studies") and Chapter 15 ("Natural and Quasi Experiments")
- Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Dzewaltowski DA, and Owen N. "Toward a Better Understanding of the Influences on Physical Activity: The Role of Determinants, Correlates, Causal Variables, Mediators, Moderators, and Confounders" American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2002 23(28)
- Baron RM and Kenny DA. "The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations." Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 1986 Dec;51(6):1173-82.
- Wu VY, Fingar KR, Jiang HJ, Washington R, Mulcahy AW, Cutler E, Pickens G. Early Impact of the Affordable Care Act Coverage Expansion on Safety-Net Hospital Inpatient Payer Mix and Market Shares. Health Serv Res. 2018 Oct;53(5):3617-3639. doi: 10.1111/1475-6773.12812. Epub 2018 Jan 21. PubMed PMID: 29355927; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6153150. Questions for discussion: How do you interpret their results? What is the right measure of the impact of ACA on payer mix and shifts in market share?

Recommended:

Gelman, A., & Zelizer, A. (2015). Evidence on the deleterious impact of sustained use of polynomial regression on causal inference. Research & Politics, 2(1), 2053168015569830. doi: 10.1177/2053168015569830. Available at http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~gelman/research/published/rd_china_5.pdf

<u>Week 2</u>:

Missing data

- White, I. R., Royston, P. and Wood, A. M. (2011), Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. Statist. Med., 30: 377–399. doi:10.1002/sim.4067 http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/sim.4067/pdf
- Pigott T.D. (2001), "A Review of Methods for Missing Data," Educational Research and Evaluation, 7 (4), 353-383.
- Rubin, D.B. (1976), "Inference and Missing Data,"Biometrika, 63, 581–592. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/2335739.pdf?acceptTC=true
- Schenker et al.: Multiple Imputation of Missing Income Data. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 2006, vol. 101, pages 924-933. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/27590772.pdf

Week 2 & 3

Exploratory data analysis 1: Basic Approaches

- Remler & Van Ryzin, Chapter 8 ("Making Sense of the Numbers"), lingering over their discussion of practical significance and use of graphs to explore the data
- Aneshensel CS. 2013. "Theory-Based Data Analysis for the Social Sciences," 2nd Edition. Chapter 1 ("Introduction to Theory Based Data Analysis") and Chapter 3 ("Relationships as Associations")

 National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods, http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/ Chapter 1, Explatory Data Analysis. Look at section 1 introduction and look around section 3 EDA Techniques. The chapter, which is very long, is posted to the CCLE web site. The handbook can also be accessed on the web, which makes exploring a bit easier: http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/index.htm

Exploratory data analysis 2: Application to class projects

- Weiner, Handbook of Psychology, Volume 2, Chapter 1, Exploratory Data Analysis. Available on CCLE website.
- Exploratory data analysis. Available on CCLE website.

Week 4

Measurement

(PLANNED CLASS DISCUSSION on Monday: Consider the Moon and Juster paper. How reliable and complete are the measures of income and wealth in the HRS)?

- Remler & Van Ryzin Chapter 4 ("Measurement")
- Larson, J.S., The Measurement of Health (New York: Greenwood Press, 1991), Chapter 3 "Measurement of Morbidity and Disability"
- Moon, M & F.T. Juster, "Economic Status Measures in the Health and Retirement Study," Journal of Human Resources, 30(Supplement 1995) S138-S157. http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus 6281.pdf?acceptTC=true
- Fryback, Dennis G., et al., "US Norms for Six Generic Health-Related Quality-of-Life Indexes From the National Health Measurement Study," Medical Care. 2007 Dec:45(12):1162-70
- Kaplan, RM & Ries, AL (2007) Quality of Life: Concept and Definition. Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, 4, 1-9.

Additional resource:

 McDowell, Ian, Measuring Health: A Guide to Rating Scales and Questionnaires, third edition (New York: Oxford University Press, 2006).

Measurement 2: Scales and Factor Analysis

- Bollen, K., & Lennox, R. (1991) "Conventional wisdom on measurement: A structural equation perspective." Psychological Bulletin, 110, 305-315.
- Floyd, F. J., & Widaman, K. F. (1995). "Factor analysis in the development and refinement of clinical assessment instruments." Psychological Assessment, 7, 286-299.
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1995). "Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development." Psychological Assessment, 7, 309-319.

Additional resources:

 Reise, S. P., Waller, N. G., & Comrey, A. L. (2000). Factor analysis and scale revision. Psychological Assessment, 12, 287-297.

- Cappelleri JC, Lundy JJ, Hays RD. Overview of Classical Test Theory and Item Response Theory for Quantitative Assessment of Items in Developing Patient-Reported Outcome Measures. Clinical Therapy 2014 May; 36(5): 648–662.
- DeVellis RF. Scale Development: Theory and Applications, 3rd Edition. 2012. Sage Publications Inc.

(PLANNED CLASS DISCUSSION on Thursday: Be prepared to present your draft research question/hypothesis; conceptual model; main outcome and primary regressor of interest and how they will be interpreted and measured. Your presentation should include:

- Research question (1-2 sentences)
- Basic research design/strategy to address question (overview, with description of key analyses that will allow you to answer your question)
- Data to be used
- Conceptual model, highlighting pathways of interest, possible mediated, moderated and confounded relationships
- To the extent time permits, more detail on design: outcome, key individual variables, measures)

(PLANNED CLASS DISCUSSION: CHIS CONCEPTUAL MODEL EXPLORATORY ANALYSIS)

Week 5

Testing coefficients and models

• Xiao Chen, Philip B. Ender, Michael Mitchell and Christine Wells, Regression with Stata, Chapter Two, Regression Diagnostics. Available on ucla website at: http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/stata/webbooks/reg/default.htm

Note: The UCLA idre website (formerly ats) offers many resources on statistical analysis, methods, code and instructional material.

Interactions, Mediation and Stratification

- Barron RM and Kenny DA. "The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations." J Pers Soc Psychol. 1986 Dec;51(6):1173-82. available online through UCLA library.
- Wu, H-S. "How to Model Mediating and Moderating Effects." CFDR Workshop Series. 2011. Available online at: http://www.bgsu.edu/downloads/cas/file94567.pdf
- Fairchild AJ and MacKinnon DP. "A General Model for Testing Mediation and Moderation Effects." Prevention Science. 2009 Jun;10(2):87-99. doi: 10.1007/s11121-008-0109-6. Available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2908713/pdf/nihms213778.pdf

On computational approaches

Methods for assessing mediation in non-linear procedures:

Stata: medeff

Imai, K., L. Keele, D. Tingley. A General Approach to Causal Mediation Analysis. *Psychological Methods*. 2010;15(4):309-34.

Stata: khb

Breen, R., K. B. Karlson, A. Holm. Total, Direct, and Indirect Effects in Logit and Probit Models. Sociological Methods & Research. 2013;42(2):164-91.

g-estimation (Implemented in Stata as gformula)

Daniel, R. M., B. L. De Stavola, S. N. Cousens. gformula: Estimating causal effects in the presence of time-varying confounding or mediation using the g-computation formula. Stata Journal. 2011;11(4):479-517.
Goetgeluk, S., S. Vansteelandt, E. Goetghebeur. Estimation of controlled direct effects. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Statistical Methodology. 2008;70:1049-66.

Also recommended:

- James LR and Brett Jeanne M. "Mediators, Moderators and Tests for Mediation." Journal of Applied Psychology. 1984; 69(2):307-321. Available online by searching for: James Brett Mediators Moderators and Tests for Mediation.
- James LR, Mulaik, SA and Brett JM. "A Tale of Two Methods." Organizational Research Methods 2006 9: 233. Available at: http://orm.sagepub.com/content/9/2/233.full.pdf+html
- Iocabucci D. "Mediation analysis and categorical variables: The final frontier." Journal of Consumer Psychology. 2012; 22(4):582-94.
- MacKinnon DP and Cox MC. Commentary on "Mediation Analysis and categorical variables: The final frontier," by Dawn Iacobucci." Journal of Consumer Psychology. 2012; 22(4):600-602.

Week 6

(PLANNED DISCUSSION: Examples of diff in diff, interrupted time series, and regression discontinuity models of analysis)

Generating and interpreting predicted probabilities

- Stata manual, margins command
- Williams, Using the Margins Command to Estimate and Interpret Adjusted Predictions and Marginal Effects, Presentation to Stata Users Conference, July, 2011
- University of Wisconsin SSCC, Exploring Regression Results Using Margins, 2016
- UCLA ats Stata FAQ How can I use the margins command to understand multiple interactions in regression and anova?
- UCLA idre Stata FAQ How can I use the margins command to understand multiple interactions in regression and anova? [different from ats document; both on ccle]

Diff-in-diff, interrupted time series, regression discontinuity

- Stevenson, A. J., Flores-Vazquez, I. M., Allgeyer, R. L., Schenkkan, P., & Potter, J. E. (2016). Effect of Removal of Planned Parenthood from the Texas Women's Health Program. N Engl J Med, 374(9), 853-860. doi:10.1056/NEJMsa1511902
- Perraillon, M. C., Konetzka, R. T., He, D., & Werner, R. M. (2019). Consumer Response to Composite Ratings of Nursing Home Quality. Am J Health Econ, 5(2), 165-190. doi:10.1162/ajhe_a_00115
- Wherry, Laura R., & Meyer, Bruce D. (2016). Saving Teens Using a Policy Discontinuity to Estimate the Effects of Medicaid Eligibility. Journal of Human Resources, 51(3), 556-588. doi:10.3368/jhr.51.3.0913-5918R1
- Gelman blog posts:
 - https://statmodeling.stat.columbia.edu/2019/06/25/another-regression-discontinuitydisaster-and-what-can-we-learn-from-it/
 - o https://statmodeling.stat.columbia.edu/2018/08/02/38160/
 - o http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~gelman/research/published/2018_gelman_jbes.pdf

Week 7

(PLANNED DISCUSSION this week: Be prepared to discuss issues what you are seeing in your exploratory analysis; any potential moderation and mediation; and the different models you plan to test. See discussion of the scope of the presentations in Section III: Assignments)

(PLANNED DISCUSSION: Be prepared to discuss the analysis of changes in disproportionate share payments in Neuhausen et al)

Simulating Policy Relevant Models and Results Translating Research into Policy and Clinical Practice

- Remler & Van Ryzin, Chapter 17 ("How to Find, Review and Present Research")
- Neuhausen, Katherine, Anna C. Davis, Jack Needleman, Robert H. Brook, David Zingmond, Dylan H. Roby. Disproportionate-share hospital payment reductions may threaten the financial stability of safety-net hospitals. Health Aff (Millwood). 2014;33(6):988-96
- Brown P, Brunnhuber K, Chalkidou K, Chalmers I, Clarke M, Fenton M, Forbes C, Glanville J, Hicks NJ, Moody J, Twaddle S, Timimi H, and Young P. "How to Formulate Research Recommendations," British Medical Journal 2006 Oct 14;333(7572):804-6. Available for free online at http://www.bmj.com/content/333/7572/804?view=long&pmid=17038740
- Woolf SH. "The Meaning of Translational Research and Why It Matters," Journal of the American Medical Association 2008 Jan 9;299(2):211-3. Available for free online at http://jama.amaassn.org/content/299/2/211.long

Also recommended:

- Garfield SA, Malozowski S, Chin MH, Narayan KMV, Glasgow RE, Green LW, Hiss RG, Krumholz HM, the Diabetes Mellitus Interagency Coordinating Committee Translation Conference Working Group. "Considerations for Diabetes Translational Research in Real-World Settings," Diabetes Care 2003 Sep;26(9):2670-2674. Available for free online at http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/26/9/2670.full.pdf+html
- Schulz et al. "CONSORT 2010 Statement: Updated Guidelines for Reporting Parallel Group Randomised Trials." BMC Medicine 2010;8:18. Available for free online at http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1741-7015-8-18.pdf
- Elbourne DR, Campbell MK. "Extending the CONSORT Statement to Cluster Randomized Trials: For Discussion." Statistics in Medicine 2001;20:489-496. Available for free online at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/1097-0258%2820010215%2920:3%3C489::AID-SIM806%3E3.0.CO;2-S/pdf
- Eccles M, Grimshaw J, Campbell M, and Ramsay C. "Research Designs for Studies Evaluating the Effectiveness of Change and Improvement Strategies," Quality and Safety in Health Care 2003;12;47-52. Available for free online at http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/picrender.fcgi?artid=1743658&blobtype=pdf&tool=pmcentrez

Week 8

TBD

Writing and Presenting Research 1

- Greenhalgh T, "How to Read a Paper: Assessing the Methodological Quality of Published Papers," British Medical Journal 1997; 315:305-8. Available for free online at http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/315/7103/305
- Special Issue on Reviewing in: Academic Medicine September 2001 Volume 76 Issue 9, pp. 863-975. Introduction, Chapters 1-4, and Appendices (all articles). Available for free online at http://journals.lww.com/academicmedicine/toc/2001/09000

Also recommended:

- Good PI and Hardin JW. Common Errors in Statistics (and How to Avoid Them). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2003. Chapter 8.
- Lang TA and Secic M. How to Report Statistics in Medicine: Annotated Guidelines for Authors, Editors and Reviewers. Philadelphia, PA: American College of Physicians, 1997. Will be on reserve in biomedical library.
- Other Greenhalgh papers in the 1997 British Medical Journal series on How to Read A Paper.

Reference List of Standards for writing:

- STROBE Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology
 http://www.equator-network.org/index.aspx?o=1032
 - Quasi experimental / non-randomized evaluations

- TREND Transparent Reporting of Evaluations with Non-randomized Designs
- o http://www.equator-network.org/index.aspx?o=1032
- Randomised (and quasi-randomised) controlled trial
 - CONSORT Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials
 - o http://www.equator-network.org/index.aspx?o=1032
- Study of Diagnostic accuracy / assessment scale
 - o STARD Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy studies
 - o http://www.equator-network.org/index.aspx?o=1032
- Systematic Review of Controlled Trials
 - o PRISMA Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
 - o http://www.equator-network.org/index.aspx?o=1032
- Systematic Review of Observational Studies
 - o MOOSE Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology
 - o http://www.equator-network.org/index.aspx?o=1032
- Qualitative studies
 - COREQ: Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research Tong, A., Sainsbury, P., Craig, J., 2007. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. International Journal for Quality in Health Care 19 (6), 349-357. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzm042)

Week 9

Survey weights:

- http://www.stata.com/meeting/dcconf09/dc09_pitblado_svy.pdf
- http://www.stata.com/meeting/canada09/ca09_pitblado_handout.pdf

Writing and Presenting Research 2

 Barratt A, Wyer PC, Hatala R, McGinn T, Dans AL, Keitz S, Moyer V, Guyatt G, for the Evidence-Based Medicine Teaching Tips Working Group. "Tips for Learners of Evidence-Based Medicine: 1. Relative Risk Reduction, Absolute Risk Reduction and Number Needed to Treat," Canadian Medical Association Journal 2004 August 17;171 (4) 353-358. Available for free online at http://www.cmaj.ca/cgi/reprint/171/4/353

Available for free online at http://www.chiaj.ca/cgi/teprint/171/4/555

 United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. Making Data Meaningful: Part 2: A Guide to Presenting Statistics. 2009. Available at: http://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/stats/documents/writing/MDM_Part2_English.pdf

Also recommended:

- 11 tips on how to present research findings. Available at: http://www.ncvo-vol.org.uk/networkingdiscussions/blogs/209/10/09/16/11-tips-how-present-research-findings
- Dijkers, MPJM, Brown, M, Gordon, WA. "Getting Published and Having an Impact: Turning Rehabilitation Research Results Into Gold." Available at: http://www.ncddr.org/kt/products/focus/focus/19/Focus19.pdf

Responding to reviewers

No assignments. Subject to change.

<u>Week 10</u>

Community Partnered Research/Real World Examples

To be determined

V. INSTRUCTIONS AND TEMPLATE FOR HS225B TERM PAPER Revised 2017_1227

1.INSTRUCTIONS

An electronic copy of the research paper and supporting materials (see below) should be submitted to the instructors by the due date.

The paper should not exceed 6000 words + tables, graphs, and references, and shorter manuscripts are encouraged. An appendix with exploratory analysis, crosstabs or other preliminary analysis should also be provided and can be up to 2000 words + tables and graphs. A detailed template for the paper is provided below.

The emphasis for this assignment is a technical exercise to demonstrate that you understand how to formulate research questions and testable hypotheses, develop a conceptual model, design a research study that can provide a reasonable answer to your questions, interpret results, and describe the nature of any biases. The point is <u>not</u> to write a publishable paper, so you should not provide an extensive discussion of the background or why the topic is compelling.

Common problems seen in years past:

- Inconsistencies between the research question, hypotheses, conceptual model and regression (e.g., describing constructs in one section differently from in another section, or including variables in your regression model that you never described in the conceptual and measurement models, or wording the research question as though you're testing moderation but then only including main effects in your regression equation).
- 2) Providing an extensive literature review and discussion of why your question is really important, but having minimal description of your study design, variables, and methods.
- 3) Developing a measurement model but calling it a conceptual model (e.g., including a box called "demographics" without thinking about what those variables are actually measuring is it truly skin color or sexual organs or number of years lived that are driving different healthcare utilization patterns? Or do race and gender and age actually proxy for other conceptual factors, such as preferences, discrimination, health status, income, etc.?)
- 4) Justifying inclusion of variables based on significant effects found in prior literature without thinking about what the variable means conceptually in your particular regression (e.g., maybe race was significant in the last dozen studies because it proxied for SES and nobody controlled adequately for financial resources, but you're using data with detailed income and wealth data, so therefore race may either be unnecessary to include, or at least interpreted differently)
- 5) Failing to think about differences in sampling units that could affect results, or conducting analysis in ways that addresses these problems. For example, comparing quality outcomes in public and private hospitals for all patients, ignoring information in the descriptive statistics that the payer mix and age distribution of the patients are very different. (Large public hospitals, such as LA County, often have very low proportions of Medicare and privately insured patients, and high numbers of Medicaid and uninsured, compared to private nonprofit or for-profit hospitals. This affects the age distribution of the patients, and sometimes the disease distribution. Stratifying analysis by age or by payer would provide additional control for these differences.)

- 6) Failing to ensure that the sample size is the same for all of your analysis variables before you run your programs (needs to be addressed either through use of complete-case analysis or another missing data method). Descriptive statistics should be based on the analysis sample. One approach is to conduct a regression with all the variables to be included, identify the sample based using the e(sample) function, e.g.: gen byte samp=e(sample) generates a variable with 1 if in the estimation sample, and 0 if not in the estimation sample.
- 7) Describing every possible omitted variable without explaining whether it's actually likely to cause a bias or in which direction (if the direction of the bias is indeterminate, explain why).
- 8) Incomplete presentation of results. For the class, as opposed to a journal, papers should include the full regression specification. See item 3) below on how regression results should be presented. As noted in that discussion, the stata estimates command makes preparing regression tables relatively straightforward and I will accept a table as constructed by estimates without additional formatting changes if your variable names are largely self-explanatory.

You <u>must</u> include the following tables in your paper:

A table describing all your measures, with columns for: **concept** (from the conceptual model); 1) **measure proxy** or proxies (descriptions of the variables to be constructed to capture the concept, e.g. if the concept is socio-economic status, there might be measures for income, education, occupation, and race/ethnicity); description of the **construction or coding** of the measure (e.g., a specific variable in the dataset, in which case indicate how it is scaled, a constructed variable, in which case describe the variables in the data set from which the measure is derived, such as "which will be a series of indicator variables derived from variable education, with separate indicates for less than high school, high school graduate, some college, college graduate, post-baccalaureate" or "number of visits will be the sum of number of primary care visits and number of visits to specialists"; rationale for using this proxy, i.e., why it is a good proxy for the underlying concept (some description here, although a citation to the literature on the measure can also be included and serve as some of the rationale for the measure); and **limitations**, a discussion of the potential weaknesses of the measure, based on its incomplete coverage of the concept, its proxying for other concepts as well as that you are using it for, weaknesses in the reliability of the data used, etc. Measurement tables can be long; they do not count against the word count. They can also be important bridges between your conceptual model and empirical analyses. While published papers do not contain tables such as this, it is a critical component of the paper and you should invest adequate time in its preparation. It is also a paper component that can be constructed while you are conducting your design and analysis, and need not wait until the end of the quarter.

2) A table summarizing your regression specification, with columns representing each of the following:

- A list of all of the variables that theoretically influence your outcome measure, including any important interactions
- The hypothesized direction of the effect of each variable.
- A brief rationale for this hypothesis; alternatively, this information can be moved to the text, if there is insufficient room in the table. Do NOT rely solely on prior empirical literature as

the rationale for a hypothesized regressor effect, but rather, briefly explain the conceptual reason in your own words.

• The measured variable(s) (proxy or proxies) for the theoretical variable. If there is no empirical variable corresponding to the theoretical measure, write N/A (and make sure you discuss the likely direction of the omitted-variable bias in the limitations section). This is the map of a relatively complete ideal regression model based on your conceptual model and an indication of what variables you will be able to include in the modeling.

Do not use any form of stepwise regression analysis unless you have multiple empirical proxies for the same theoretical variable and your sample size is too small (or the collinearity too high) to include all of them in the empirical specification.

3) A table with descriptive statistics for the analysis sample, showing each dependent and independent variable used in the analysis, the sample size for the variable, and the mean and standard deviation (or frequency) for the variable. Present unweighted data, so that the true distributions of the variables can be determined. If useful for presenting results, the table can report these statistics for subsamples defined by values of the dependent variable or the primary regressor of interest, using separate columns for the subsamples. Constructing tables with columns for subsamples can serve as the basis for some tests of the equivalence of covariates or bivariate analysis.

4) One or more tables with estimates based on the regression models. These tables <u>must</u> include the following information:

The dependent variable

- A row for each variable included in the regression, whether it is the primary regressor or not. If you are examining the impact of including or excluding specific variables through multiple models, present the models side by side in a table. **Include the full specification!** Some journals encourage truncated regression tables with just the primary regressors of interest and for journal submission, that's fine. For this course, the full regression should be provided, including interactions.
- You are also encouraged to do multiple specifications, including and excluding variables to test the substantive impact on other variables and to model full stratification. Specifications can and should be presented in the same table to the extent possible so that coefficient estimates can be compared. The Stata estimates command makes the creation of tables with multiple regression models relatively easy.

Which sample or subsample was used and sample size(s)

- An explanation of the estimates being shown, either in the title or footnote (e.g., "Relative risks and 95% confidence intervals")
- R-square or pseudo-R-square and the F or chi-square statistic for the whole model in a row at the bottom of the table if multiple models in the table, or alternatively in a footnote to the table.

- Any other tests conducted (e.g., joint tests of b2 b3 b4), as a row at the bottom of the table. You only need to report p-values for the joint tests, not the test statistics.
- Footnote with any relevant information not obvious from the title or table itself, such as the data sources, what type of regression model was used, what other variables were controlled in the regression, and what the omitted (reference) categories are. This is also a useful approach for articles for publication. They free the reader and referee from the need to find the relevant text, and when tables are excerpted or pulled from the paper, they can stand alone because of this detail. Notes to tables generally don't count against the word count, and won't in this case. You are strongly encouraged to liberally use table notes.
- Estimates demonstrating the direction, magnitude, variance and statistical significance of the effects. At a minimum the table should present coefficient estimates or exponentiated coefficients if appropriate (e.g., odds ratios or relative risk ratios), standard errors or t or z statistics). Confidence intervals or p-values would also be appreciated. For tables with multiple model specifications, it would be best if the coefficient or exponentiated coefficient, the SE or t or z statistic, and stars for p-values, all feasible using the estimates command, can be presented.

5) As appropriate, graphs or tables with margins analysis or other data that provide a more informative presentation of results than the regression table.

6) While exploratory analysis, crosstabs or other preliminary analyses are often not presented in a published paper, these should be included either in the body of the paper or an appendix, with a brief summary of the conclusions drawn from these analyses and how it influenced subsequent analyses or design decisions. These can be 2000 words long.

Note: Use of sample weights is not required in HPM225B.

2.TEMPLATE FOR HS22B RESEARCH PAPER

Name of document

hpm225b_5finalpaper_yournamehere.doc [use the last name of the first alphabetized author in team] final should be replace by draft when you submit the draft

Identifying Information

- Title
- Authors' names and student ID numbers
- Date

Introduction

- State research question (can be worded generally)
- State specific testable hypotheses (and, if applicable, counter-hypotheses)
- Explain rationale for hypotheses

Please note: Merely citing previous literature does not constitute an explanation of your hypothesis.

- Explain why the topic is interesting/important, but keep this very brief. A few sentences are fine.
- <u>Succinctly</u> summarize the previous literature, focusing on its limitations and how/why your study makes a contribution to what is already known

Note: A comprehensive literature review is not required for the HS225B paper, since conducting literature review is not a focus in this quarter.

Conceptual Model

- Conceptual model graph or chart
- Verbal description of model
- Table summarizing conceptual variables, theoretical proxies, hypothesized direction of effect (may be ambiguous if competing hypotheses exist) and brief rationale for each (see description above)

Methods

Study design.

Describe your identification strategy or how your design will allow you to assess your hypotheses and rule out competing hypotheses or explanations. Sources of data

Study sample.

Indicate if this is a subsample of the full data and describe how it was constructed.

Measures.

Include table discussed above. Also discuss and present the analyses done to collapse categories, create categorical variables from continuous variables, or include quadratic or other nonlinear transformations in the data.

Dependent variable(s)

Primary regressor(s) of interest

Other control variables

Regression model

Statistical analysis (including methods used to deal with any missing data)

Results

Descriptive statistics

Multivariable statistics

Detailed description of results for main regressor(s) Discussion of analysis of mediation, moderation and confounding Brief summary of results for other covariates Any tests performed, sensitivity analyses and specification checks

Bivariate relationships are not necessary to report unless useful to the interpretation of the multivariate analysis. Intermediate and exploratory analyses prior to a regression that add insight to the choice of measures or variables, decision to include interactions or other design choices are a key element of this course and should be included either in the main text or an appendix. Post-estimation analysis including joint tests, estimates of marginal effects, etc., are encouraged to the extent they provide insight into the questions initially posed.

Discussion

Brief summary of findings

Limitations – note that this section is extremely important!

• Internal validity, including potential for reverse causality and omitted variables and likely nature/direction of any biases

 External validity – if possible, describe likely nature of any biases or provide information regarding generalizability

Note: To get full credit for mentioning omitted variables and/or reverse causality, you need to explain likely direction of bias or describe competing biases.

Brief summary of clinical and/or policy implications and future research directions

Tables (see above)

References

No particular format required, but you should use an author date in-text citation format so I can more easily relate the reference list to the text. You should all be using Endnote or an equivalent, so you can quickly reformat citations, and the Health Services Research style would be a good choice for this paper.

STATA programs and output

You must separately include a <u>clean and final</u> copy of all STATA programs and log files used for your paper. These programs will be reviewed and the accuracy of the code will be considered in assigning a final grade. Format using a fixed width font, with a small enough point size (but no smaller than 8 point) so that most of your code is on a single line. I encourage heavy annotation of your code so that the purpose of any section is easily understood. This helps editing and modifying code either for this analysis or for reuse in subsequent analysis. An example of documentation at the beginning of a program:

```
aana anal 02.do
version 1.01.01
dates:
orig: 2005 0302
rev: 2005_0307 and version updated to 02
rev: 2005_0329 and version updated to 03
rev: 2005_0607 and version updated to 05
       2005 0329 and version updated to 03
rev: 2007 1226 and version updated to 06
rev: 2007 1228 and version updated to 07
rev: 2008 0104 and version updated to 08
rev: 2008 0125
orig: 2008 0416 version 08a based on 08, specific analysis to respond to reviewer 2 re
selection
rev: 2010 0216 version 09 based on 08c, analysis for commentary
This program uses:
       Completed merged discharge, survey and aha file aana pdd surveyed.dta
        uses original dx file, ob 99 01 diag to correct & update mat dia (maternal diabetes)
and mat obe (maternal overweight
        saves analysis data set as:
ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN RESPONDING TO COMMENTARY
1. Diabetes
        Original code used icd9 648.0x codes to identify diabetes
        In literature, 648.8x codes for abnormal glucose tolerance are used to identify
gestational diabetes
       create mat dia rev measure that incorporates both codes and merge into data set, then
use in reanalysis
*/
```

Or for a specific process:

**** * PDD VARIABLES * RECODE AGE, PAYER< ADMIT, RACE vars to numeric destring new_age source_pay type_admit new_race died, replace compress new_age source_pay type_admit new_race died * BIRTHS FROM PDD COUNTS * divide volume by 1000 so can interpret coefficient as per 1000 births egen volume=count(master_seq_num),by(state hosp_id year) replace volume=volume/1000 * AGE CATEGORY DUMMIES * coded from categorical variable * see codebook for original categories * restricting coding of dummy variables to non-missing cases gen byte agelt20 = new_age<=3 if new_age~=.</pre> gen byte age2034 = new_age==4 if new_age~=.
gen byte age35_ = new_age==5 if new_age~=. label var agelt20 "Age of Mother LT 20" label var age2034 "Age of Mother 20-34" label var age35_ "Age of Mother 35 or older"

APPENDIX: HEALTH SERVICES RESEARCH DOCTORAL LEVEL CORE COMPETENCIES

Number (#)	Label	Competency	Domain* Examples
1	Foundational knowledge	Acquire knowledge of the context of health and health care systems, institutions, actors, and environment	 Health and biology Cost & financing of health care Organization of health care Health policy Access and use Quality of care Social determinants of health Health disparities Health informatics Outcomes and effectiveness Resource allocation Health behavior Cross-cultural and global perspectives
2	Theoretical knowledge	Apply or develop theoretical and conceptual models relevant to health services research	[Variable depending on the discipline or interdisciplinary area of specialization] Theory construction Economics Epidemiology Psychology Sociology Management Political science Anthropology Operations research
3	Relevant and important HSR question development	Pose relevant and important research questions, evaluate them, and formulate solutions to health problems, practice and policy	 Demography Scientific method and theory Proposal/grant development Assessment of evidence Gap analysis
4	Conceptual models and operational methods	Use or develop a conceptual model to specify study constructs for a health services research question and develop variables that reliably and validly measure these constructs	 Stakeholder analysis Scientific method and theory Measurement and variables Conceptual and analytic (empirical) models Causal models Qualitative research Quantitative research

5	Study designs	Describe the strengths and weaknesses of study designs to appropriately address specific health services research questions	 Study designs Observational vs. experimental designs Survey research Qualitative research designs Quantitative research designs Mixed method designs Intervention research Community based participatory research Evaluation research
6	Data collection and management methods	Sample and collect primary health and health care data and/or assemble and manage existing data from public and private sources	 Quality improvement Survey research Qualitative research Operations research Data acquisition Data mining File creation and database management Quality control Sampling
7	Research conduct management	Execute and document procedures that ensure the reproducibility of the science, the responsible use of resources, the ethical treatment of research subjects	 Health informatics Responsible conduct of research Ethics Authorship Conflict of interest Research integrity Human subjects/IRBs HIPAA Contracts, MOUs, DUAs Quantitative research Qualitative research Data acquisition Quality control & DMBs Research study management Organizations as subjects Health care law and risk management
8	Data analysis	Demonstrate proficiency in the appropriate application of analytical techniques to evaluate HSR questions	 Budget development and management Economic evaluation CEA/CBA Statistics, biostatistics and econometric methods Estimation and prediction methods Operations research and decision sciences Analysis of complex samples

			 Advanced qualitative analytic methods Advanced quantitative analytic methods
9	Professional development	Work collaboratively in teams within disciplines, across disciplines, and/or with stakeholders	 Meta-analysis Teamwork Leadership Team management Conflict resolution Knowledge management Project management Negotiation Teaching Mentoring Cross-cultural and global perspectives
10	Communication	Effectively communicate the process, findings, and implications of health services research through multiple modalities with stakeholders	 Lifelong learning Proposal development Dissemination Communication skills Marketing and persuasion techniques Writing skills Writing for scientific journals Cultural sensitivity
11	Knowledge transfer	Knowledge translation to policy and practice	 Cross-cultural and global perspectives Evidence based practice Evidence based policy Human factors research Health marketing Implementation science Translational research

Source: Table 4, Health Services Research Core Competencies. Final Report. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. Accessed at http://www.ahrq.gov/fund/training/hsrcomp08.htm

Learning Objectives and Competencies for the Course

Upon completion of HS 225B, student should be able to:

- Comprehend, formulate, and employ theory, models, and variables in the conceptualization of a health services research problem
 - PhD/MS Competencies:
 - 1 Acquire knowledge of the context of health and health care systems, institutions, actors, and environment.
 - 2 Apply or develop theoretical and conceptual models relevant to health services research.
 - 3 Pose relevant and important research questions, evaluate them, and formulate solutions to health problems, practice and policy.
 - 4 Use or develop a conceptual model to specify study constructs for a health services research question and develop variables that reliably and validly measure these constructs.
- Know how to identify and use secondary data sources relevant to health services research
 - PhD/MS Competencies:
 - 6 Sample and collect primary health and health care data and/or assemble and manage existing data from public and private sources.
- Understand the basic research designs used in health services research, describe their relative strengths and weaknesses, and know which designs can and cannot be implemented using any given data source
 - PhD/MS Competencies:
 - 3 Pose relevant and important research questions, evaluate them, and formulate solutions to health problems, practice and policy.
 - 5 Describe the strengths and weaknesses of study designs to appropriately address specific health services research questions.
 - 8 Demonstrate proficiency in the appropriate application of analytical techniques to evaluate HSR questions.
- Translate health services research designs into regression models
 - PhD/MS Competencies:
 - 4 Use or develop a conceptual model to specify study constructs for a health services research question and develop variables that reliably and validly measure these constructs.
 - 7 Execute and document procedures that ensure the reproducibility of the science, the responsible use of resources, the ethical treatment of research subjects.
 - 8 Demonstrate proficiency in the appropriate application of analytical techniques to evaluate HSR questions.
- Write a research manuscript describing the methods and results of an empirical data analysis corresponding to an appropriate conceptual model and study design
 - PhD/MS Competencies:
 - 7 Execute and document procedures that ensure the reproducibility of the science, the responsible use of resources, the ethical treatment of research subjects.
 - 8 Demonstrate proficiency in the appropriate application of analytical techniques to evaluate HSR questions.
 - 10 Effectively communicate the process, findings, and implications of health services research through multiple modalities with stakeholders.
 - 11 Knowledge translation to policy and practice.